



UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

**30 AUG 2006**

RAKOCZY MOLINO MAZZOCHI SIWIK LLP  
6 W. HUBBARD ST.  
SUITE 500  
CHICAGO IL 60610

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of	:	DECISION ON
KOCSIS et al	:	
Application No.: 10/584,661	:	
PCT No.: PCT/HU2004/000123	:	
Int. Filing Date: 18 December 2004	:	PETITION UNDER
Priority Date: 19 December 2003	:	
Attorney's Docket No.: 0103-0004/2	:	
For: Novel Pharmaceutical Compositions With	:	
Increased Activity	:	37 CFR 1.137(b)

This decision is in response to applicants' "PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT DESIGNATING THE U.S. ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b)," filed on 26 June 2006. The \$1,810 fee for filing the application and the \$1,500 fee has been charged to Deposit Account No.: 503626 as stipulated in the transmittal letter.

**BACKGROUND**

On 18 December 2004, this international application was filed, claiming an earliest priority date of 19 December 2003. A copy of the international application was communicated to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) from the International Bureau on 30 June 2005.

The deadline for paying the basic national fee in the United States under 35 U.S.C. 371 and 37 CFR 1.495 was 19 June 2006. This international application became abandoned with respect to the United States at midnight on 19 June 2006 for failure to pay the required basic national fee.

On 26 June 2006, applicants filed the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) and Transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States, which was accompanied by the basic national fee and the petition fee.

### **DISCUSSION**

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by (1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application; (2) the petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m); and (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20 (d)) required pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.

Petitioner has provided: (1) the proper reply by submitting the basic national filing fee, (2) the petition fee set forth in § 1.17(m) and (3) the proper statement under 137(b)(3). In this application, no terminal disclaimer is required.

Accordingly, the petition is deemed to satisfy requirements (1), (2), (3), and (4) under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

### **DECISION**

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

This application is being returned to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) for continued processing.



Rafael Bacares

PCT Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Office

Telephone: (571) 272-3276

Facsimile: (571) 273-0459